

0040-4039(94)00908-2

Synthesis and Stereochemical Assignments for Goniobutenolides A and B

Daqiang Xu and K. Barry Sharpless*

Department of Chemistry, The Scripps Research Institute, 10666 N. Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA

Abstract: Goniobutenolides A and B and their C-7 epimers are stereoselectively synthesized using osmiumcatalyzed asymmetric dihydroxylation (AD) as the key transformation. The relative and absolute stereochemistry of the natural goniobutenolides A and B are assigned with reference to the spectral data and optical rotations from the literature.

A group of styryllactones has been isolated from ethanolic extracts of the stem bark of *Goniothalamus giganteus* Hook. f. & Thomas¹ of which many have been synthesized due to their interesting structures and biological activities.² Among these lactones, goniobutenolides A and B are marginally cytotoxic against human tumor cells. The structures **1** and **2** (or their enantiomers) were proposed based on extensive NMR studies. The *threo* relationship of the two vicinal hydroxyls prompted us to synthesize these lactones to demonstrate the synthetic utility of the selective asymmetric dihydroxylation (AD) of conjugated dienes and polyenes³ and to establish the absolute configurations of these natural products.

As outlined in Scheme I, construction of the diol lactones was realized in three steps in greater than 60% overall yields. Mukaiyama coupling of cinnamyl aldehyde dimethyl acetal with trimethylsiloxyfuran 7 followed by refluxing the aldol adduct in glacial acetic acid in the presence of KOAc gave a mixture of the *E*- and *Z*-trienes (1:1 ratio) in 85% combined yield.^{4,5} The *E*- and *Z*-isomers (8 and 9), whose stereochemistry was established by NOE experiments, were readily separated by flash chromatography. Dihydroxylation of each of the trienes under standard AD conditions^{3,6} gave the corresponding diol regioselectively in over 80% yields and 99% ee.⁷ However, the spectral data (¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR) for 1 and 2 did not corresponded to that for either of the natural goniobutenolides A or B. Since the spectral data for both 1 and 2 appeared totally in agreement with the assigned structures, we concluded that the 7,8-dihydroxyl must have the *erythro* instead of the *threo* relationship.

Scheme I



To confirm this speculation, the *erythro* goniobutenolides 3 and 4 were synthesized (Scheme II). AD of *cis*-ethyl cinnamate using the DHQ-IND ligand furnished the *erythro* diol (25,35)-10 in 82% yield and 63%ee.^{8,9} The enantiomeric diol (*i.e., ent*-10) was obtained in 75% yield and 78% ee when DHQD-IND was used as the chiral ligand. The (25,35)-diol (10) was chosen for the following transformations. Compound 10 was protected as its acetonide, and the protected diol ester was cleanly reduced to the aldehyde 11 using 1.2 equivalents of Dibal-H in methylene chloride at -78 °C. The butenolides 12 and 13 were formed following an aldol and elimination procedure.⁵ Dienes 12 and 13 were separated by flash chromatography and treated with dilute HCl in THF to give the target molecules 3 (pale yellow oil, $[\alpha]_D^{2}$ -49.2; c 0.25, CHCl₃) and 4 (white crystals, $[\alpha]_D^{2}$ +15.5; c 0.20, CHCl₃), respectively. The ee values of 3 and 4 were both 64% as determined by direct HPLC analysis on a Chiralcel OF column¹⁰ indicating that no racemization occurred during the above transformations. The spectral data for the synthetic goniobutenolides 3 and 4 are identical to those reported except for the opposite signs of rotation [The reported values for goniobutenolide A and B are +82.0 (c 0.25, in CHCl₃) and -36.5 (c 0.20, in CHCl₃), respectively]. Therefore, the absolute configurations of the natural goniobutenolides A and B is as shown in 5 and 6, respectively.

In conclusion, the relative and absolute stereochemistries of the natural goniobutenolides A and B have been established, and the concise syntheses of the C-7 epimers (1 and 2) of the goniobutenolides highlight the effectiveness of the AD process for selective oxidation of polyenes.

Scheme 2



a, AD/DHQ-IND, 0 °C, 82% y, 63% ee; b, i) Me₂C(OMe)₂, PPTS (cat); ii) Dibal-H, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C,89%;

c, 7, $\mathsf{BF}_3\cdot\mathsf{Et}_2\mathsf{O},\,85\%;\,\,d,\,\,\mathsf{Et}_3\mathsf{N},\,\mathsf{Ac}_2\mathsf{O},\,84\%;\,\,e,\,1\mathsf{N}\,\mathsf{HCl},\,1:1\,\,(v/v)\,\mathsf{H}_2\mathsf{O}/\mathsf{THF},\,85\,-91\%.$



Acknowledgment: Financial support was provided by the National Institutes of Health (GM28384). The DHQD-IND and DHQ-IND ligands were kindly provided by Dr. Lisa Wang. Helpful discussions with Professor J. L. McLaughlin (Purdue University) are gratefully acknowledged.

References and Notes:

- (a) Fang, X.-p.; Anderson, J. E.; Chang, C.-j.; McLaughlin, J. L. *Tetrahedron* 1991, 47, 9751. (b) Fang, X.-p.; Anderson, J. E.; Chang, C.-j.; Fanwick, P. E.; McLaughlin, J. L. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1990, 1655.
- (a) Zhou, W.-S.; Yang, Z.-C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 7075. (b) Shing, T. K. M.; Tsui, H.-C.; Zhou, Z.-H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 691. (c) Shing, T. K. M.; Zhou, Z.-H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 3333. (d) Shing, T. K. M.; Tsui, H.-C. Zhou, Z.-H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 810. (e) Shing, T. K. M.; Tsui, H.-C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 432. (f) Gracza, T.; Jager, V. Synlett. 1992, 191.
- 3. Xu, D.; Crispino, G; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7570.
- 4. It is interesting to note that directly coupling of cinnamyl aldehyde with the furyl ether under similar conditions gave exclusively the Michael addition product in almost quantitative yield.
- 5. Asaoka, M.; Yanagida, N.; Ishibashi, K.; Takei, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 4269.
- Sharpless, K. B.; Amberg, W.; Bennani, Y. L.; Crispino, G. A.; Hartung, J.; Jeong, K.-S.; Kwong, H.-L.; Morikawa, K.; Wang, Z.-M.; Xu, D.; Zhang, X.-L. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 2768.
- 7. 1: [α]²²_D -246.5 (c 1.1, EtOH). The ee value was 99%, which was determined by direct analysis on a Chiralcel OB column (HPLC): 20% IPA/HEX, 1mL/min, (*S*,*S*) 22.6min, (*R*, *R*) 29.8min. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), δ: 2.92 (2H, s), 4.65 (1H, d, *J* = 6.3 Hz), 4.83 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.5, 6.3 Hz), 5.29 (1H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 6.12 (1H, d, *J* = 5.4 Hz), 7.24-7.35 (6H, m). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), δ: 71.23, 77.03, 113.56, 120.59, 126.75, 128.38, 128.47, 139.40, 143.60, 150.13, 169.0. 2: [α²²_D +95.5 (c

1.0, EtOH). The ee value was 99%, which was determined by directly analysis on a Chiralcel OJ column (HPLC): 30% IPA/HEX , 0.8mL/min, (*S*, *S*) 18.3min, (*R*,*R*) 13.1min. ¹H NMR: (400 MHz, CDCl₃), & 2.70 (2H, s), 4.52 (1H, t, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 4.58 (1H, d, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 5.56 (1H, dd, *J* = 7.3, 1.6 Hz), 6.07 (1H, dd, *J* = 5.6, 1.7 Hz), 7.25-7.33 (5H, m), 7.49 (1H, d, *J* = 5.6 Hz). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), & 73.26, 77.81, 112.49, 121.08, 122.80, 126.79, 128.73, 139.15, 140.91, 145.70, 151.56.

- 8. Wang, L.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7568.
- 9. The ee value of the diol ester 10 was determined by direct HPLC analysis on a Chiralcel OJ column: 10% IPA/HEX, 0.8 mL/min, (S,S) 19.5 min, (R,R) 23.9 min.
- The ee value for 3 and 4 were determined by direct HPLC analysis on a Chiralcel OF column.
 3: 20% IPA/HEX, 1 mL/min, (S,S) 26.8 min, (R,R) 33.5 min.
 4: 20% IPA/HEX, 1 mL/min, (S,S) 28.7 min, (R,R) 41.1 min.

(Received in USA 4 April 1994; revised 3 May 1994; accepted 6 May 1994)